UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,368	08/06/2003	Kenneth Stewart	STEWART	6917
Evelyn M. Som	7590 05/18/2007		EXAM	INER
Suite 825		,	SHAFFER, RICHARD R	
250 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,368	STEWART, KENNETH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard R. Shaffer	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	February 2007.	•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 2-22 is/are pending in the application	on.	·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5 and 13-20 is/a	re withdrawn from considera	tion.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-12,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	`		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies flot	receivea.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6-12, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 21 has the new limitation of the bone instrumentation cover or shield "capable of being permanently" installed in bone. Applicant's cover is not what is installed in the bone as clearly stated later in the claim in which it covers the instrumentation, which is inserted in bone. The cover remains outside of the bone and is not inserted in the bone and especially not "permanently installed" within bone.

Claim 22 states the limitation, "at least one member selected from the group consisting of GoreTex, Dacron, and polyurethane." Applicant's disclosure states that the cover or shield "is made of proven, medically safe material, such as GoreTex™, Dacron™, polyurethane, or any other safe implantable material." Nowhere does it state that such may be used in combination with other materials, or even combinations of the stated materials. Therefore the claim is directed towards new matter. Further, applicant must properly include the trademark symbols where appropriate.

Art Unit: 3733

All dependent claims have been rejected for being dependent upon an unsupported base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McIntyre (US Patent 3,746,066).

McIntyre discloses (**Figures 1-3**) a cover/shield (**1**) comprising: a flexible, hollow cap/casing pouch; a cinch ring portion (top of **Figure 2** extending down to **16**) to close a box-like aperture by drawstrings through a tubular section of the cinch ring portion; and the cinch ring also having openings (**16**) to allow sutures (**5a, 5b**) to pass through to allow the cap/casing to tighten vertically toward the cinch ring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McIntyre.

Art Unit: 3733

McIntyre discloses all of the claimed limitations except is silent as to the cap/casing being made of GoreTex[™], Dacron[™], or polyurethane. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device of McIntyre with the claimed materials, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,368 Page 5

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Shaffer
Richard Shaffer
May 12th, 2007

EDUARZO E. ROBERT SUZERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER